

Clarification of Issues on Appeal”); *In re Schmitz*, 436 B.R. 110, 112 (Bankr. W.D. Wis. 2010) (recognizing that Rule 8006 does not provide for litigant to edit the statement of issues in the absence of a cross-appeal, and further noting that appellee “has not pointed to caselaw supporting its ability to edit the statement of issues in the absence of a cross-appeal, and I find none”).

For all of the foregoing reasons, appellant’s Motion to Supplement/Amend Record and Issues on Appeal (doc. 6) is **denied**.

DONE and ORDERED this 5th day of December, 2012.

s/ WILLIAM H. STEELE
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE